

How good is the Warnsdorff's knight's tour heuristic?

Samuel L. Marateck
Department of Computer Science,
New York University,
New York, N.Y.10012,
USA

Warnsdorff's rule for a knight's tour is a heuristic, i.e., it's a rule that does not produce the desired result all the time. It is a classic example of a greedy method in that it is based on a series of locally optimal choices. This note describes an analysis that determines how good the heuristic is on an 8 X 8 chessboard. The order of appearance in a permutation of the eight possible moves a knight can make determines the path the knight takes. A computer analysis is done of the 8! permutations of the order of a knight's moves in Warnsdorff's rule on an 8 X 8 chessboard for tours starting on each of the 64 squares. Whenever a tie occurs for moves to vertices that have the lowest degree, the first of these vertices encountered in the programming loop is chosen. The number of permutations of the 8! total that yield non-Hamiltonian paths is tallied. This will be the same value if we consistently choose the last of these vertices encountered.

1. Introduction

The Knight's Tour is an example of a Hamiltonian path on a graph in that the knight touches each square once and only once on the chessboard. A path in which the starting square is reachable from the last square the knight visits is called *closed* or *re-entrant* or a *Hamiltonian cycle*. In 1823 Warnsdorff [5] published a rule to produce a Hamiltonian path: *At each square a look-ahead is performed to see which of the possible next squares has the least number of valid exits. The square having the least number of valid exits* – in graph theory this translate to the vertex with the lowest degree – *is the next square the knight lands on. If there is a tie between two or more squares, the next square is arbitrarily chosen.* The look-ahead for the penultimate square (the 63rd one) in a Hamiltonian path should indicate the last square (the 64th one) has degree zero, i.e., there is no square to which the knight on the ultimate square can move.

2. Examples of Knight's tours

The knight's moves are described by the graph $G = (V, E)$, where the squares on the board are the vertices V and the allowed moves of the knight are the edges E , i.e., $V = \{(i, j) | 1 \leq i, j \leq 8\}$ and $E = \{((i, j), (k, l)) | \{|i - k|, |j - l|\} = \{1, 2\}\}$. See for instance [3] [1] [2] [4]. We describe the change in the knight's x and y positions by the pair $\langle dx, dy \rangle$. If we use the the following order for the knight's eight possible moves, $\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 1 \rangle \langle 1, -2 \rangle \langle 2, -1 \rangle \langle -1, 2 \rangle \langle -2, 1 \rangle \langle -1, -2 \rangle \langle -2, -1 \rangle$ to determine which square the knight proceeds to next, if he starts at $(0,0)$ ¹ the path is described in Fig. 1. The path is, incidentally, a closed path.

¹We use the convention that the numbering of the rows and columns of a matrix starts with zero.

```

1  4 61 20 41  6 43 22
34 19  2  5 60 21 40  7
 3 64 35 62 37 42 23 44
18 33 48 57 46 59  8 39
49 14 63 36 55 38 45 24
32 17 56 47 58 27 54  9
13 50 15 30 11 52 25 28
16 31 12 51 26 29 10 53

```

Fig. 1. The Hamiltonian path starts at (0,0).

The tour in Warnsdorff's algorithm stops when a square with zero exits is reached. If that square occurs before the knight reaches the 63rd square, as it does in Fig. 2. at the square at (5,0) the tour halts and the path described is non-Hamiltonian. This tour uses the same $\langle dx, dy \rangle$ permutation as in Fig. 1. Remember if a few squares are left and one of them having degree zero is occupied by the knight, the other squares – they are marked in Fig. 2. by a zero – cannot reach the one last occupied. Why? Since a zero-degree square cannot access any other squares, no square can access it.

```

 0  2 19 24 35 28 17 26
20 23  0  1 18 25 34 29
 3  0 21 36 45 32 27 16
22 55 46  0 42 37 30 33
47  4 59 54 31 44 15 38
60 53 56 43 50 41 12  9
 5 48 51 58  7 10 39 14
52 57  6 49 40 13  8 11

```

Fig. 2. A non-Hamiltonian path for which the program uses the same $\langle dx, dy \rangle$ permutation as is used in Fig. 1. but starts at square (1,3).

3. Analysis of the 8! permutations of the knight's moves

For each of the 8! or 40,320 permutations of the knight's moves, a program determines if a tour starting from any of the 64 squares produces a non-Hamiltonian path. The result is that 32,944 permutations out of 40,320 produce at least one non-Hamiltonian path. So you only have an 18% chance of randomly choosing a permutation that will yield a board without any non-Hamiltonian paths. The most non-Hamiltonian paths resulting from a permutation is 9. The permutation

$\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 1, -2 \rangle \langle -2, -1 \rangle \langle 2, -1 \rangle \langle -2, 1 \rangle \langle -1, -2 \rangle \langle -1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 1 \rangle$

is one of those that produces 9 non-Hamiltonian paths. On the other hand,

$\langle 1, 2 \rangle \langle 2, 1 \rangle \langle 1, -2 \rangle \langle -1, 2 \rangle \langle -2, -1 \rangle \langle 2, -1 \rangle \langle -1, -2 \rangle \langle -2, 1 \rangle$

is one of those that produces 64 Hamiltonian paths and thus no non-Hamiltonian paths.

In case of a tie occurring for moves to the two or more vertices having the same lowest degree, the program chooses the first vertex encountered. The same result for the sum of the non-Hamiltonian paths would occur if you choose the last vertex encountered. The reason is that summing over all the possible permutations makes the two non-Hamiltonian sums – one obtained using the first vertex encountered in a tie, and the other using the last vertex encountered – identical because of the symmetry of the permutations: For every permutation of the form $abcdefgh$ there is a corresponding one of the form $hgfedcba$. In an analysis of the tours themselves, of the $64 \times 40,320$ or 2,580,480 possible tours, 78,832 are non-Hamiltonian. Again, the results are independent of whether the first or last vertex is chosen in a tie.

References

- [1] Axel Conrad, Tanja Hindrichs, Hussein Morsy, Ingo Wegener, Solution of the Knight's Hamiltonian path problem on chessboards, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 50 (1994) 125-134.
- [2] Olaf Kyek, Ian Parberry, Ingo Wegener, Bounds on the number of knight's tours, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 74 (1997) 171-181.
- [3] Ian Parberry, An efficient algorithm for the Knight's tour problem, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 73 (1997) 250-260.
- [4] Ira Pohl, A Method for Finding Hamiltonian Paths and Knight's Tours, *Communications of the ACM* 10 (1967) 446-449.
- [5] H. C. Warnsdorff, Des Rösselsprunges einfachste und allgemeinste Lösung. Schmalkalden, (1823).